

## **WORKING WITH DATA**





#### **BIG DATA???**



- 1. Research sponsored by American Heart Association
- 2. Worldwide study identify factors related to heart disease
- 3. Results from other countries inconsistent with US.
- 4. Highest per capita incidences in US and UK
- 5. Only one factor had a high correlation
- 6. SPEAKING ENGLISH!





### **BDI and A LOT OF DATA**

- University research 1980s
- BDI formed in 1989
- Load test & rate bridges
- Expanded services
- 600+ structures tested







#### **DIAGNOSTIC TESTING**



Get to know structure
Controlled tests
Verify / calibrate analysis
Assess capacity



#### HOW TO TEST A BRIDGE



# Apply load Measure responses





#### MEASURE STRAINS





#### **MEASURE DISPLACEMENT & ROTATION**











STRUCTURAL TESTING & MONITORING We Stand Below Our Work!

#### **MEASURE ACCELERATIONS**





#### COMPUTED DATA

- Simulate load test with analytical model.
- Compare results (thousands of comparison points)

Plate Stream (b) 6.597×10<sup>2</sup> [P-46,041:1872] 5.597×10<sup>2</sup> [P-46,041:1872] 5.494110<sup>2</sup> 7.595×10<sup>2</sup> 7.595×10<sup>2</sup> 7.39410<sup>2</sup> 7.39410<sup>2</sup> 7.39410<sup>2</sup> 7.39410<sup>2</sup> 8.625×10<sup>2</sup> 7.395×10<sup>2</sup> 8.625×10<sup>2</sup> 7.395×10<sup>2</sup> 8.625×10<sup>2</sup> 7.595×10<sup>2</sup> 7.595×10<sup>2</sup>

40 – 80 sensor
100 truck positions





#### INTEGRATED APPROACH

#### Reconcile differences in data sets



STRUCTURAL TESTING & MONITORING We Stand Below Our Work!



RESPONSE HISTORY PLC

LOAD POSITION (ft)

RESPONSE HISTORY PLOT

#### **ADDRESS SPECIFIC QUESTIONS**

#### Does this bridge need to be posted?



#### Is the load limit accurate?



#### Can necessary vehicles cross?





#### WHAT CAN IT TAKE

#### Can a bridge designed for 15 tons carry a 1M lb. transport?





#### How about 2 million?



#### ASSESS DAMAGE & EVALUATE REPAIR

#### How bad is it doc?

#### Is it as good as new?





#### MAINTAIN OR REPLACE?

#### What is the remaining fatigue life of this bridge?



Deck replacement or new bridge?





#### TEST RESULT SUMMARY

Bridge Type	Influencing Factors	Percent Improvement
RC Slabs	Greatest benefit, end conditions, edge stiffening, no longitudinal joints	30 to 60%
Beam Slab Bridges 5 or more beams	Ratings controlled by moment, Beam lines > wheel lines, End conditions and edge stiffening	20 to 40%
Culverts and arches	Function of fill depth, end-conditions, span length	20 to 30%
Truss Bridges	Members inline with floor system	0 to 30%
RC T-Beam Bridges	Ratings controlled by shear, # of beam lines, edge stiffening.	0 to 20%
2 Girder bridges	No improvement in distribution. End conditions may influence ratings.	0 to 20%





#### THE BIG DATA PICTURE

- US, state & county inventories
- Target testing for best odds of success
- Maximize ROI
- Proactive vs reactive
  - asset management







#### LEVERAGE RESULTS

Test representative sample

#### **MII** KDOT – Illinois Bulletin Slabs

- 6 Bridges tested
- 120 bridges load rated



#### MONITORING

Like testing ... but different

- Longer duration
- Equipment stays
- Bigger investment
- Different questions







#### **MONITORING – CHECKING LIMITS**



Crack growth
Settlement
Slope stability
Early warning





#### MONITORING – DYNAMIC

Capturing overload events
Bridge Weigh-in-Motion
Fatigue life

#### **Rainflow Analysis** Section 1-1, High Stress Ranges



2011/12/21 10:12:37

BX900





#### NEXT GENERATION



- DATUM Monitoring
- Consistent data platform
- Potential for Big Data
  - Continuous damage detection
  - Remote load tests
  - Multiple structure correlation



# **THANK YOU!**





"We Stand Below Our Work"